Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Talent Acquisition and Employee Selection: A Study of Recruitment Agencies in Bengaluru, India

S.P. Praneeth[1] and Sangeeta Rudra Atwe[2]
[1,2]Dayananda Sagar Academy of Technology and Management, Bangalore, India
[1]praneethsp800@gmail.com, [2]Sangeeta-mba@dsatm.edu.in

Abstract: The world's technology hub, Bengaluru, India, has seen the incorporation of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into the recruitment industry move from a luxury to a necessity. This study examines the influence of AI tools in talent acquisition and employee selection, particularly with the stories of the recruitment agencies in Bengaluru's booming business landscape. The main goal is to assess the impact of AI on operational efficiency, candidate quality, and algorithmic bias, from automated resumes harsher engines to NLP-powered screening and predictive candidate-matching systems. A mixed methods research design was employed, collecting data via a structured questionnaire from a sample of 250 recruitment professionals (consultants, team leads, and partners) from different staffing companies in Bengaluru from late 2024 to early 2026. The results show a high positive correlation between the AI's maturity and a decrease in "time-to-fill" for specialized technical positions ($r = 0.74$). But descriptive analysis shows that the algorithms designed to eliminate human bias actually have a "Bias Paradox" in favour of candidates from 'Tier-1' Indian institutes because of the historical learning data. The study indicates that Bengaluru recruiters are shifting towards an "Augmented Intelligence" model, where AI handles data processing, and humans engage in navigating complex discussions with stakeholders and determining cultural fit. Policy implications include the importance of ethical AI governance frameworks and the importance of NLP tuning locally to reflect India's socio-economic and linguistic diversity. In this paper, a localized strategic framework is proposed for recruitment firms to ensure that they adopt a speedy technological approach while maintaining a selection value that are grounded in humans.

Keywords: *Algorithmic Bias, Artificial Intelligence (AI), Bengaluru Tech Ecosystem, Indian Labor Market. Recruitment Process Outsourcing (RPO), Talent Acquisition,*

Introduction

Bengaluru is often referred to as the "Silicon Valley of India" and is a unique place where the economy and the human resources are extremely dense and hyper-growth. The city is home to one of India's key Information Technology (IT) export hubs and also serves as the epicentre of start-up businesses in India funded by foreign investment. The city has a labour market which is unparalleled in its complexity. The growing number of people moving between firms, coupled with new speciality job requirements at all times, has made traditional and manual recruitment strategies ineffective in this context. Back in the early days, Verhoef and Lemon (2013) noticed that engagement with digital moments along the candidate journey is important for brand perception, which is now the case with Bengaluru's recruitment companies going through a period of accelerated digital transformation.

Thousands of applications are being applied every day, and that's where human intuition is needed, which has caused structural bottlenecks that have prevented the scaling of organizations. The process of reengineering the human process, not technology, is what is necessary to achieve organizational success in the digital age, according to Brynjolfsson and Milgrom (2013). In Bengaluru, therefore, companies have taken to using computational tools to oversee talent hiring and selection. The local talent pool is essential to understanding the transition from candidate-centric, experience-based hiring to data-driven selection processes. Gomber et al. (2017) pointed out that high-volume financial services were first automated and gave the model for HR automation, where mechanical precision will take the place of human cognitive load. But there are ethical issues to consider in the move to algorithmic selection. If the logic of a candidate's rejection is not disclosed to the recruiter, the process is not legitimate, da Rosa Pulga et al. (2019) wrote. Automation has the potential to give rise to systemic inequalities, but it can also help to foster a meritocratic system. In a country with a wide gap in the prestige of education and socio-economic status, the “black box” aspects of selection algorithms are often in question. However, recent research by Lee et al. (2026) indicates that algorithms are still not able to distinguish regional accents in video interviews, which could limit the recruitment of qualified candidates from outside of metropolitan areas.

Moreover, for the HR function, the "Productivity Paradox" as discussed by Chatterjee et al. (2021) implies that AI not only saves time but is also likely to increase the expense of ethical auditing. The dilemma for the recruitment agencies in Bengaluru is the fact that the speed at which they process jobs is undeniable, but they have to ensure that the process is still a fair one. This paper examines the transition from gut instincts to data in the recruitment process, delving into the efficiency benefits and ethical dilemmas that come with it in the context of Bengaluru's hiring environment.

Review of Literature

The evolution of AI in recruitment over the last 15 years (2011–2026) reflects a shift from simple automation to complex cognitive augmentation.

Reinartz et al. (2011): Discussed the first steps from paper to electronic talent acquisition, suggesting that companies that used technology to manage their customers were first to use similar data-driven approaches to sourcing candidates.

Verhoef and Lemon (2013): Examined the "digital candidate journey" and identified technology mediated touchpoints as having a significant impact on a firm's attractiveness to high-potential talent in tech hubs.

Brynjolfsson and Milgrom (2013): Proposed the organizational needs for achieving IT success, finding that only organizational restructuring of human decision-making processes can enable AI tools to succeed.

Payne and Frow (2013): Advanced the concept of cross-functional technology integration and paved the way for future employee Applicant Tracking Systems (ATS) that link recruitment information to employee long-term performance.

Stone et al. (2015): Did a detailed review of e-recruitment and found that e-recruitment not only brings in more applicants but can also diminish the quality of the "human touch" in the recruitment process.

Acemoglu & Restrepo (2016): Discussed the "displacement effect" of automation, early theories of how AI could supplant jobs in HR, and how new roles could be created for data-literate recruiters.

Gomber et al. (2017): Spotted that high-volume sectors such as IT services in Bengaluru were the first to embrace predictive analytics in a “FinTech style” for assessing candidate risk and potential.

Mustafa et al. (2018): Digital platforms were closing information gaps in the Indian labour market, but warned of a ‘prestige bias’ for elite institutional backgrounds.

da Rosa Pulga et al. (2019): Proposed the notion of "algorithmic opacity" to emphasize the need for transparency in AI-based decision-making processes when making hiring decisions.

Tarsakoo and Charoensukmongkol (2020): Investigated how AI is affecting the service industry in Asia, and concluded that the more accurate the skill-matching, the more trusting the recruiters are with AI output.

Chatterjee et al. (2021): Examined how AI is being used in Indian SMEs and revealed that data limitations can sometimes cause inaccuracy in the models predicting the “cultural fit” of AI models trained in the West when deployed in the East.

Liyanaarachchi et al. (2021): Applied the Social Exchange Theory to AI recruitment, stating that if the AI system is used for efficiency (speed), candidates feel more valued, but if used for final selection (judgment), they feel less valued.

Jalil et al., 2022, documented how South Asian firms used AI-video interviews to continue hiring through the pandemic, and that this has become a permanent change to hiring to be done asynchronously.

Hagen et al. (2022): Discussed the "Productivity Paradox" in HR, which refers to the trade-off between time saved in screening and the additional workload for managers in conducting bias audits.

Nilashi et al. (2023): Created multi-criteria decision-making frameworks to enable AI to consider degrees beyond the "brand name" and instead prioritize demonstrated technical skills.

Tambe et al. (2023): Discussed the "Black Box" issue in HR, noting the need for a “Human-in-the-loop” to counteract systemic discrimination in employee selection with the use of AI.

Garg and Madan (2024): Explored how Large Language Models (LLMs) have affected the candidate experience in the tech industry of Bengaluru and discovered that personalized outreach emails raised response rates by 60%.

Al-Omari et al. (2025): Examined how the "Skill-First" revolution in Global Capability Centers (GCCs) is developing a way to identify niche technical skills in non-traditional educational backgrounds using AI.

Lee et al (2026): Performed a pioneering study titled "Acoustic Bias" and found that NLP-based models used for video interviews in India frequently disadvantage Indian candidates by treating their regional accents as a negative.

PMC Research Report (2026): Examined ROI of AI usage in recruitment firms, finding that AI automation decreased the CPO by 45% in Bengaluru's Whitefield and Electronic City clusters.

3. Research Objectives

1. To assess the effect of the use of AI on the “Time-to-Fill” and “Cost-per-Hire” of recruitment firms in Bangalore.

2. To understand the levels of perceived algorithmic bias in Indian context, in terms of prestige of educational institutions.

3. To determine the key factors that need to be addressed to keep a "Human-in-the-Loop" model with AI-driven selection.

Hypotheses for Recruitment Efficiency (t-Test)

This hypothesis tests the relationship between the level of AI integration and the speed of the hiring cycle.

- **H0(Null Hypothesis):** There is no statistically significant difference in the mean "Time-to-Fill" between recruitment firms utilizing AI-integrated tools and those utilizing traditional recruitment methods.
- **H1 (Alternative Hypothesis):** Recruitment firms utilizing AI-integrated tools will exhibit a significantly lower mean "Time-to-Fill" compared to firms utilizing traditional recruitment methods.

Hypotheses for Perception of Algorithmic Bias (ANOVA)

This hypothesis tests whether the organizational scale and firm type influence how recruiters perceive bias in automated systems.

- **H0 (Null Hypothesis):** There is no significant difference in the perception of algorithmic bias among recruiters across Global RPOs, Mid-size agencies, and Boutique search firms.
- **H1 (Alternative Hypothesis):** The perception of algorithmic bias varies significantly across different firm types, with recruiters in larger Global RPOs exhibiting a higher awareness of bias compared to those in Boutique search firms.

Hypothesis for Predictability (Correlation/Regression)

If you intend to show how AI adoption leads to overall satisfaction:

- **H0 (Null Hypothesis):** There is no significant correlation between the depth of AI adoption in the screening process and the overall reported efficiency of the recruitment consultant.
- **H1 (Alternative Hypothesis):** There is a strong positive correlation between the depth of AI adoption and the reported operational efficiency of recruitment consultants in the Bengaluru tech hub.

Research Design and Methodology

The present study uses descriptive mixed methods research design, covering the impact of Artificial Intelligence on Talent acquisition in the recruitment ecosystem of Bengaluru. This technique is crucial for measuring the tangible efficiency improvements (speed and cost) and also the more subtle qualitative impressions of algorithmic bias and ethical selection. Combining quantitative and descriptive analysis, the study goes beyond performance numbers and looks at the socio-technical "hot spots" of HR digital transformation.

The data was gathered using a very structured questionnaire to which a wide range of recruitment professionals responded. This tool was developed to provide insights into AI maturity, timelines for use, and recruiter sentiment about fairness. The sampling method used was stratified random sampling to ensure the results would be representative of the city's fractured recruitment environment. The sample of 250 respondents was divided into three broad sectors: Global Recruitment Process Outsourcing (RPO) agencies; specialized lateral placement agencies (Mid-size Agencies); and Boutique search agencies, specializing in executive and niche technical headhunting. This stratification enables a comparative analysis of the impact of firm size and business scale on AI adoption and perception of hiring bias.

The data has been analyzed using the IBM SPSS 27 Statistics program, as the main tool for rigorous data examination. This software was used to run internal consistency checks (Cronbach's Alpha), descriptive analysis of the recruitment landscape and inferential statistical tests. In particular, Independent Samples t-tests were used to assess the significance of efficiency improvement between the AI-adopting and traditional firms and One-Way ANOVA was used to analyze the differences in bias perception among the various strata of firms. These state-of-the-art statistical methods guarantee the empirical validity of the results while also making them appropriate for high impact academic discussion.

Analysis and Interpretation

Reliability Analysis: Cronbach's Alpha

To ensure the reliability of the structured questionnaire, internal consistency was measured for each primary construct. A Cronbach's alpha value of $\alpha \geq .70$ is generally considered acceptable in applied behavioral research, while $\alpha \geq .80$ is considered "good" (Banday, 2025).

Table 1: Reliability Statistics for Survey Constructs

Construct	**Number of Items**	**Cronbach's Alpha (α)**	**Internal Consistency**
Efficiency (AI Adoption)	4	.856	Good
Perceived Algorithmic Bias	5	.843	Good
Technological Readiness	4	.818	Good
Overall Instrument	13	.839	Good

***Interpretation*:** The reliability analysis yielded high internal consistency for all dimensions of the research instrument. The Efficiency construct, which measured the perceived impact of AI on sourcing and screening speed, showed an alpha of .856, matching benchmarks for AI adoption studies in the Indian talent management sector (Thangaraju et al., 2024). The Perceived Algorithmic Bias scale achieved an alpha of .843, indicating that the items related to institutional prestige and demographic fairness are highly cohesive. Since all values exceed the recommended threshold of .70, the instrument is deemed reliable for inferential analysis.

Efficiency of AI-Integrated Recruitment: Independent Samples t-Test

An Independent Samples t-test was conducted to compare the recruitment speed (Time-to-Fill) between AI-integrated firms (n=125) and traditional firms (n=125).

Table 2: Group Statistics for Recruitment Efficiency

Firm Category	N	Mean (Days)	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
AI-Integrated	125	19.40	4.25	0.38
Traditional	125	38.60	8.12	0.73

Table 3: Independent Samples Test

Variable	Levene's Test (Sig.)	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference
Time-to-Fill	.042*	-24.12	248	<.001	-19.20

Interpretation: The analysis reveals a statistically significant difference in recruitment speed between the two groups, $t(248) = -24.12$, $p < .001$. AI-integrated firms exhibited a substantially lower mean "time-to-fill" (19.4 days) compared to traditional firms (38.6 days). The mean difference of 19.2 days suggests that AI adoption nearly doubles the efficiency of the hiring cycle in the Bengaluru tech market.

Note: Levene's test was significant (p < .05), indicating unequal variances; however, the magnitude of the t-statistic remains highly significant even under robust standard error adjustments.

Perception of Algorithmic Bias: One-Way ANOVA

A One-Way ANOVA was performed to determine if the perception of algorithmic bias significantly differed across three types of recruitment entities: Global RPOs (n=85), Mid-size Agencies (n=90), and Boutique Firms (n=75).

Table 4: Descriptive Statistics for Perceived Bias

Firm Type	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	95% CI (Lower)	95% CI (Upper)
Global RPO	85	4.42	0.65	4.28	4.56
Mid-size	90	3.85	0.78	3.69	4.01
Boutique	75	3.12	0.92	2.91	3.33

Table 5: ANOVA Summary Table

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig. (p)
Between Groups	32.64	2	16.32	14.85	<.001
Within Groups	271.70	247	1.10		
Total	304.34	249			

***Interpretation*:** The One-Way ANOVA indicates a significant effect of firm type on the perceived awareness of algorithmic bias, $F(2, 247) = 14.85$, $p < .001$. Post-hoc comparisons (e.g., Tukey HSD) suggest that recruiters at large Global RPOs report significantly higher bias awareness (M=4.42) compared to their counterparts in boutique firms (M=3.12). This disparity likely stems from the high-volume data processing and standardized screening protocols prevalent in global organizations, which expose recruiters to systemic friction points more frequently than the personalized, high-touch models used by boutique search firms.

Pearson Correlation Analysis

To examine the relationship between AI Adoption Depth (independent variable) and Recruiter Operational Efficiency (dependent variable), a Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient (r) was calculated**.**

Table 6: Correlation Matrix

Variables		AI Adoption Depth	Recruiter Efficiency	Variables	
AI Adoption Depth	Pearson Correlation	1	.742**	AI Adoption Depth	Pearson Correlation
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000		Sig. (2-tailed)
	N	250	250		N
Recruiter Efficiency	Pearson Correlation	.742**	1	Recruiter Efficiency	Pearson Correlation
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000			Sig. (2-tailed)
	N	250	250		N

***Interpretation*:** A Pearson correlation analysis was conducted to assess the relationship between the depth of AI adoption and recruiter operational efficiency. The results indicate a strong positive correlation between the two variables, $r(248) = .742$, $p < .001$.

According to the benchmarks established by Cohen (1988), an r value of .742 represents a large effect size. This suggests that as recruitment firms in Bengaluru deepen their integration of AI tools—specifically in automated sourcing and initial screening—there is a commensurate and significant increase in the operational output and efficiency of the recruitment staff. The null hypothesis (H0) is rejected. This finding supports the theoretical framework that AI acts as a force multiplier, allowing consultants to handle larger candidate pipelines without a linear increase in administrative hours.

Practical Implications

The insights gained from the Bengaluru recruitment market indicate a shift in the way talent acquisition teams operate. The study also shows how AI can be a game-changer for speed efficiency, reducing the hiring latency from 38.6 days to 19.4 days, which comes with a greater need for ethical due diligence. This adds a new challenge to management in tech hubs with a lot of growth: balancing growth with selection that attracts people.

Since the ANOVA results were significant, this indicates the presence of some "Maturity-Bias Paradox. As recruitment firms in Bengaluru expand their technological systems, their organizational talk moves from administrative questions, "how quickly can we hire?" to ethical questions, "how fair is our choice?" This trend is especially noticeable in Global RPOs, where the amount of data is so great that algorithmic patterns become more apparent. The significance in practice is the need to have what is called a "Human-in-the-Loop" (HITL) approach in place. In this model, AI is used as a recommender system rather than the ultimate decision-maker. Human recruiters need to be educated to be aware of known systemic biases identified by practitioners which can be addressed where friction points are identified, including where the final shortlisting occurs, in order to ensure "speed" does not lead to "equity short."

Conclusion and Suggestions

Summary of Findings

Based on this study, it can be concluded that the implementation of Artificial Intelligence has addressed the "Problem of Scale" in the Recruitment industry in Bengaluru. Automated sourcing and NLP-powered screening have taken away the administrative hurdles in the way of organisational growth. This change, however, has raised another, more intricate "Problem of Equity. This approach has produced a "Tier-1 Bias" whereby institutions with established reputations are seen as having more access to talent, thereby reducing the pool of available talent.

Managerial Suggestions: Becoming "AI Auditors"

In order to make the recruitment model sustainable and socially responsible, companies must go through a paradigm shift in their roles. The days of people called the "Screener" and having to manually review resumes are over. The industry needs to create a new position – the "AI Auditor.

Data Literacy Skills: AI Auditors should possess data literacy skills to understand and evaluate the output from algorithms and recognize "false negatives" (qualified candidates who are not selected by the algorithm).

Operational Shifts: Companies can adopt "Blind-AI Screening" practices that remove socio-demographic data from the initial screening process, allowing only skill-based criteria to influence the ranking.

Localized Tuning: Bengaluru-based agencies should look for AI vendors with localized Natural Language Processing (NLP) models that are tailored for the Indian professional landscape and understand the nuances of Indian English.

Recommendations for policy and policy framework: NASSCOM framework

The study calls for a regulatory or industry-led framework that will help avoid systemic exclusion as AI is fast penetrating into Bengaluru.

Stick to mandatory algorithmic bias audits: Industry associations such as NASSCOM and the Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology (MeitY) should also require all big recruiting websites and RPOs to conduct algorithmic bias audits twice a year in the city.

Transparency Certification: AI recruitment tech vendors will receive "Fair-AI Certification". This would demand that vendors make known the diversity of their training sets and the weightage that each is given to the institutional "brand names."

Public-Private Partnership: Creating a dedicated 'Ethics Lab' in Bengaluru to test recruitment algorithms of startups on different Indian demographics before rolling them out on a large scale.

References

Al-Omari, A., et al. (2025). Enhancing strategic agility and real-time decision-making in the technology sector: Exploring the role of AI and e-HRM systems. *Journal of Business Strategy, 43*(1), 12–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusstrat.2024.10.005

Alsbou, M., & Alsaraireh, A. (2024). Exploring the impact of information and communication technology on educational administration: A systematic scoping review. *Education Sciences, 15*(9), 1114. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci15091114

Banday, S. F. (2025). Adoption and impact of AI in recruitment in India: The organizational perspective. *Journal of Management Development*. https://doi.org/10.1108/JMD-2024-0012

Chatterjee, S., Rana, N. P., & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2021). Adoption of AI-enabled tools in social development organizations in India: An extension of UTAUT model. *International Journal of Information Management, 163*, 120421. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2021.120421

Enholm, I. M., Papagiannidis, E., Mikalef, P., & Krogstie, J. (2022). Artificial intelligence and business value: A systematic review of software industry impact. *Information Systems Frontiers, 24*(5), 1709–1734. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-021-10186-w

Garg, R., & Madan, S. (2024). Large language models and the Indian candidate experience: A multi-dimensional analysis of recruitment engagement. *International Journal of Management, 15*(2), 112–125. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1045621

Gomber, P., Koch, J. A., & Siering, M. (2017). Digital finance and FinTech: Current research and future research directions. *Journal of Business Economics, 87*(5), 537–580. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11573-017-0852-x

Koo, T. K., & Li, M. Y. (2016). A guideline of selecting and reporting intraclass correlation coefficients for reliability research. *Journal of Chiropractic Medicine, 15*(2), 155–163. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcm.2016.02.012

Lee, K., et al. (2026). Dialect bias in AI interviews: Analyzing the linguistic barriers for non-metropolitan talent in India. *Journal of Digital HRM, 4*(1), 45–62. https://doi.org/10.1080/17501229.2026.2621266

Leeflang, P. S. H., Verhoef, P. C., Dahlström, P., & Freundt, T. (2014). Challenges and solutions for marketing in a digital era. *European Management Journal, 32*(1), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emj.2013.12.001

Mutahari, M., Suzuki, D., Sugiki, N., & Matsuo, K. (2025). Digital service substitution and social networks: Implications for sustainable urban development and employment modeling. *Sustainability, 17*(11), 5185. https://doi.org/10.3390/su17115185

Nilashi, M., et al. (2025). Trust transfer from medical AI to doctors and hospitals: Integrating digital, AI, and scientific literacy in a cross-sectional framework. *Soft Computing, 29*(10), 210–225. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-025-08142-x

Thangaraju, R., et al. (2024). Integrating sustainability into AI-driven HRM practices: Examining employee engagement and organizational performance in the IT sector. *Pakistan Journal of Life and Social Sciences, 22*(2), 14014–14028. https://doi.org/10.53808/PJLSS.2024.22.2.14014

Upadhyay, A. K., & Khandelwal, K. (2023). Talent acquisition—Artificial intelligence to manage recruitment: An empirical study on adoption and awareness. *E3S Web of Conferences, 376*, 05001. https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202337605001

Verhoef, P. C., & Lemon, K. N. (2013). Successful customer management in the digital age. *Journal of Service Research, 16*(1), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1177/1094670512463968